

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/637,155	08/08/2003	Patricia L. Redding	7315	2906	
7590 08/18/2005			EXAMINER		
The Sherwin-Williams Company			MOORE, MARGARET G		
11 Midland Bldg 101 Prospect Av	g Legal Dept. venue, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cleveland, OH			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/	637,155	REDDING, PATR	RICIA L.
Office Action Sumn	nary Exa	miner .	Art Unit	
	Mar	garet G. Moore	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	OMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a reply within haximum statutory period will applied for reply will, by statute, cause see months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thir y and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of the BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>30 Januar</u>	y 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	-		
3) Since this application is in c	ondition for allowance e	xcept for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the	ne practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 15</u> is/are pend	ing in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s)		om consideration		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 9, 14, 15</u> is/are		on consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 to 13</u> is/are reje				
7) Claim(s) is/are object			•	
8) Claim(s) are subject		ction requirement.		
		•		
Application Papers	Andrew Orac Programme			
9) The specification is objected	<u> </u>		–	
10) The drawing(s) filed on		•	•	
Applicant may not request that	•	•	` `	
Replacement drawing sheet(s)	_	•	•	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Examin	er. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No	one of:			
 Certified copies of the 	priority documents have	e been received.		
2. Certified copies of the	priority documents have	e been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified	copies of the priority de	ocuments have beer	n received in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the li	nternational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Off	ice action for a list of the	e certified copies not	t received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	•	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	TO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S	Summany	Part of Paper No./Mail I	Data 20050845

Application/Control Number: 10/637,155

Art Unit: 1712

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 10 to 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lida in view of Cremeans et al.

lida teaches an air drying coating composition containing a silicone modified acrylic grafted alkyd resin and a carboxyl group containing chlorinated polyolefin. See the teachings starting on the bottom of column 3 which detail the alkyd resin. This meets claimed component (a) as (a) is open to silicone modification. Starting on the bottom of 5 lida teaches the carboxyl group containing chlorinated polyolefin. See for instance that the polyolefin can be reacted with an unsaturated monomer having a carboxyl group (i.e. an acrylic acid). This falls within the breadth of claimed component (b). Pigments meeting (e) are taught on column 6, line 55 and on, while the last line on column 6 teaches a solvent (note that solvent will necessarily be volatile as it is used in an paint).

This differs from the instant claims only in that lida fails to teach a drying agent. The use of drying agents, in an effort to expedite alkyd resin drying, is well known in the art. See for instance Cremeans et al., column 6, line 17 and on, which notes that drying agents are used to promote air drying in conventional alkyd resins.

Thus one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by that which is known in the art, as exemplified by Cremeans et al., to add a drying agent to the composition of lida in an effort to expedite the air drying of the alkyd resin therein. In this manner instant claim 10 is rendered obvious.

For claims 11 and 12, see the ratio of chlorinated polyolefin to silicone resins in Table 2, as they meet these claims. Also note that these compositions are water free.

Art Unit: 1712

3. Claims 1 to 9, 14 and 15 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or suggest such an aerosol paint product. Acrylic modified alkyd resins and acrylic chlorinated polyolefin resins are both known but the prior art fails to provide adequate motivation to combine such components, particularly in an aerosol paint product as claimed. While one could argue the obviousness of combining two known compositions to arrive at a third composition, the Examiner draws attention to the teachings on column 2, lines 9 and on, of Maruo et al. which state "since the compatibility of the chlorinated polyolefins with the acrylic resins and the alkyd resins is inherently poor, the gloss of the coated layer is lowered...". This does not refer to a blend of the two resins required in claim 1, but it does cast doubt on one's expectation for success if one were to blend the two resins required in claim 1. In view of the teaching in Maruo et al., one would have at best an obvious to try motivation to combine these known resins and such a motivation is not adequate for establishing obviousness. Combined with this, the Examiner notes that it is not simply a combination of resins that is claimed but a particular aerosol paint product, or a process using such a product. Thus, in totality, the claimed product and process are novel and unobvious over the prior art.

The Examiner also draws attention to Ser, in which a acrylic grafted chlorinated polyolefin is disclosed. Column 3, lines 50 to 54, teaches the addition of an alkyd or acrylate resin but the Examiner simply could find no motivation to add an acrylic modified alkyd resin to such a composition. In hindsight, it would appear that a teaching of an alkyd or acrylate resin would imply that an acrylic modified alkyd resin could be used, but such hindsight is impermissible. The Examiner was unable to find any teaching in the cosmetic or nail polish art of acrylate modified alkyd resin film formers. Note too that the only aerosol spray taught in Ser is for fixing hair, which would lead one away from the addition of a colorant.

4. The amendment filed 1/30/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the description of

Application/Control Number: 10/637,155

Art Unit: 1712

DORESCO® AC439-17. Applicants' comments indicate that this "merely expands the description and history of one of the useful resins" but there is nothing to support the correlation between DORESCO® AC439-17 and DORESCO® AC439-1. The product description provided by applicants does not remedy this descrepency.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 8/15/05